L

TM61-64: Operational performance of buildings

TM63: Modelling and calibration for evaluation of energy in-use

Nishesh Jain PhD, MSc

Research Fellow, IEDE, University College London KTP Associate, DesignBuilder Software Ltd.

n.jain@ucl.ac.uk









CIBSE TM61-64 series on operational performance

CONTEXT

TM61 Operational performance of buildings

TOOLS

- TM62 Operational performance: Surveying occupant satisfaction
- TM63 Operational performance: Modelling and calibration for evaluation of energy in-use
- TM64 Operational performance: Indoor air quality Emissions sources and mitigation measures



CIBSE TM61: Aims & Scope

• Energy performance gap and IEQ performance evaluation



CIBSE TM61: Aims & Scope

- Energy performance gap and IEQ performance evaluation
- Key factors at different stages: Design; Construction; Commissioning & Handover; and Operation



CIBSE TM61: Aims & Scope

- Energy performance gap and IEQ performance evaluation
- Key factors at different stages: Design; Construction; Commissioning & Handover; and Operation
- Four case studies on holistic evaluation of operational building performance



Office



Hospital



School



Apartment Block

CIBSE TM63

Methodology to evaluate energy performance in-use.

How to use Digital Twins for **Energy POE**

• Compliments and extends *CIBSE TM54: Evaluating* Operational Energy Performance of Buildings at the <u>Design</u> Stage



*See Chapter 8: Post occupancy evaluation of TM54: 2022 for links between TM54 and TM63

Operational performance:

Building performance modelling and calibration for evaluation of energy in-use CIBSE





CIBSE TM63: Aims & Scope

Framework for M&V of in-use building energy performance:

- Guidance for creating calibrated models (Digital Twins)
- Determine performance gap accurately and identify its root causes
- Separate underperformance due to changes made to meet functional needs and technical shortcomings



TM63: Model Calibration

BUILDING DESIGN & OPERATION DATA

н н

н

н

PRELIMINARY MODELLING



Evidence based calibration process

- 1. As-designed model
- 2. Intermediate model and initial run
- 3. Iterative improvement final model calibration and validation
- 4. Partially calibrated model
- 5. Sensitivity analysis





TM63: Modelling and Calibration case study

- Four storey newly built office building with about 6500 m² floor area
- Located in South-West England
- Mainly has open plan offices and meeting rooms
- Designed to high energy efficiency standards



Step 1: Design vs actual performance



- Heating & DHW Elec
- Heating & DHW Gas
- Cooling
- Pumps & Aux
- Internal Lighting
- External Lighting
- Small Power
- Catering
- Server

Step 2: Performance gap issues

Changes in Operating Conditions

- There were extended operation hours along with some weekend use
- The total occupancy was about 25-30% higher
- Heating set-point was maintained at about 2-4°C, higher than the design intent
- Limited use of hot-desking and use of 'killswitches' to shut off systems in unoccupied areas.

Technical Issues

- Malfunctioning of heat pumps due to issues with heat exchangers and flow rates specifications
- Heating terminals sizing was not consistent with the LTHW requirements
- Server loads were overestimated leading to less free heat available for the heat pumps
- Some ventilation control sensor malfunctioned and there were high parasitic loads during unoccupied times







Cleaning and processing of monitored data





Real Weather Data for Calibration Period



Table 1 Calibration criteria for energy defined inASHRAE Guideline 14-2014

Index	Error range
NMBE (monthly)	±5%
C _v (RMSE) (monthly)	15%
NMBE (hourly)	±10%
C _v (RMSE) (hourly)	30%

NMBE: normalised mean bias error C_v(RMSE): coefficient of variation of the root mean square error

> Calibration check for monthly electricity use



Table 1 Calibration criteria for energy defined inASHRAE Guideline 14-2014

Index	Error range
NMBE (monthly)	±5%
C _v (RMSE) (monthly)	15%
NMBE (hourly)	±10%
C _v (RMSE) (hourly)	30%

NMBE: normalised mean bias error C_v(RMSE): coefficient of variation of the root mean square error

> Cross-Validation for Dependent Variables

Step 5: Operational baseline and the associated performance gap



UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering





TM63: Operational performance

Modelling and calibration for evaluation of energy in-use

Thank You

Nishesh Jain (n.jain@ucl.ac.uk)





